

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/604,012	MUELLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yoon-Young Kim	1723	

All Participants:

(1) Yoon-Young Kim.

(2) Patrick Bergin.

Status of Application: Response to non-final office action entered and forwarded to examiner

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Line 14 of Claim 1 to be amended to "being inserted within the face portion receiving aperture to dispose the filter member".

Line 15 of Claim 11 to be amended to "inserted through the filter-receiving aperture and into".

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)